

Scientist Feedback Post Presentation Meeting Group Two Minutes

Date of Meeting: 14 February 2017

Location of Meeting: Library 113

5:02pm Meeting Called to Order

Roll Call of Attendees: Cory Kroes, Jordan Gagnon, Adam DeWeese, Christopher Hartwig, Mark Schlax

Absent: Sophia Farquhar

Feedback Review:

1. Only two agencies: DNR and county. All caps.
 - a. Is "other" option necessary?
 - i. Always potential. Could create confusion though so would need to be specific. In this situation, best to just leave it at the two options.
2. Changing color of headers as visual queue.
3. Corrective action: we didn't foresee.
 - a. Thank you for pointing that out. If you have two minimal problems and one larger problem, would need to give different dates.
4. Character Limit?
 - a. What is needed to match with database. Scientists will need to research so app character limit matches database limit. Believe it is 4,000 character limit.
5. Will make sure they are inputting correct date; can't be from the past.
 - a. Validation necessary.
 - i. Shouldn't be more than 100 years. Often isn't more than 30 days.
 - ii. Handled at top of page as well with today's date.
 1. May be autofilled.
 - a. Let the user adjust if needed.
6. Error messages: putting more description on error messages as pointed out by the graduate student on our team.
 - a. Color coding would help user note which elements are missing.
 - b. Wide range of expertise using the app so may need to "hold their hand" as the users go through the app.

Next Steps:

1. Finalize the design.
 - a. Adjust mock-up based off feedback.
2. Design mobile version.
 - a. Will send to scientists for final review.
3. Meet with Dr. Pastel about design.
4. Begin implementation.

Meeting Adjourned at 5:17pm