

**Q:** Do we have any plans to filter text before someone is able to submit an analogy (i.e. profanity protection)?

**A:** No current plans but would like to implement if we have the time

**Q:** At this point, we only have text descriptions for analogies, do we think we would benefit from having embedded media (videos, coding examples) on analogies?

**A:** Would be nice to have but we would have to think about how we would format attaching media to analogies

**Q:** On our paper prototype, we only have an upvote/downvote system, have we considered using a 5 star rating system for rating analogies?

**A:** At this point, we are just using the upvote/downvote system to gauge analytics and site traffic, using a different rating system wouldn't really benefit us much. Instead of adding a star system, a comment system would be a better implementation because it could be more specific. Regardless of the rating system itself, getting feedback from comments would be the most useful feature to implement.

**Q:** Have we considered implementing the creation of analogies in such a way where when someone is creating an analogy, the system could search and compare the analogy being created against similar analogies to avoid duplicates/save time for the person creating the analogy/show them similar analogies that may be useful

**A:** Not something we have thought about, but considering how our search function will be implemented, it likely wouldn't be hard to display similar analogies to the one that is being created; adding an ability to detect duplicates would be a nice feature to implement if possible given the timeframe for the project.

**Comment (Dr. Pastel):** Think about how you can assist the user in creating the analogies, and offer more options in how an analogy can be expressed. Right now, it's just a form. The design is good but try to think further out of the box.